

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/525,991	Applicant(s) HENDRICKS ET AL.	
	Examiner Robert A. Wax	Art Unit 1656	

All Participants:

 (1) Robert A. Wax.

 (2) Anthony Zelano.
Status of Application: Allowable

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 November 2006
Time: 10:43 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Wax pointed out that the specification was missing a brief description of the drawings, Attorney Zelano indicated that an amendment would be e-filed today.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)